Be'ās lies the Bist do'āb; between the Be'ās and the Rāwī, the Bārī do'āb; between the Rāwī and the Čenāb, the Rečnā do'āb; between the Čenāb and the Dihelam, the Čedi or Dieč do'āb; and between the Dihelam and the Indus, the Sind Sāgar do'āb. The names for these do'ābs are said to have been invented by the emperor Akbar (Ā'īn-i Akbarī, trs. H. S. Jarrett, ii, 311 ff.). The most famous do'āb in Southern India is the Rāyčūr do'āb between the Kistna (Krishna) and the Tungabhadra rivers which formed a fluctuating frontier between the Hindū kingdom of Vidiayanagara and the Muslim states of the Deccan. (C. COLLIN DAVIES)

DO'AN [see DAW'AN].

DOBRUDJA, the plateau between the Danube and the Lom river in the North, the Black Sea in the East and the Prowadijska river or the Balkan range in the South. Deli Orman in this area is distinguished from the steppe region, Dobrudja-Kiri, in the East which is considered as the Dobrudja proper. Called Scythia Minor in the Graeco-Roman period, it was included in the Byzantine province of Paristrion (Bardjān in Idrīsī's world map) in 361/972. In Bulgarian Karvunska Chora, it was 'the land of Karbona' in the mediaeval Italian maps. Its modern name came from Dobrudja-eli (as Aydin from Aydin-eli) which in Turkish meant the land of Dobrudja, Dobrotič (as Karlofdja from Karlowitz) (cf. Susmanos-eli in Neshrī, Ğihānnümā, ed. Fr. Taeschner, Leipzig 1951, 66). Yanko or Ivanko, son of Dobrotič, was mentioned as Dobrudja-oghlu in Ne<u>sh</u>rī (66, 68).

From the early 5th century A.D. until the 13th/ 19th century Dobrudia became, primarily for the peoples of Turkic origin coming from the Eurasian steppes, a natural route leading to the invasion of the Balkans or a refuge for those pushed by their rivals beyond the Danube. Thus in the footsteps of the Huns (408 A.D.) came Avars (in 534 and especially in 587 A.D.), Bulghars (especially in 59/679) with their capital in Preslav, southern Dobrudja, Pečeneks (440/1048), Uz (456/1064) and Ķîpčaķs (Cumans) (484/1091). Among those the Kipčaks appeared to play politically and ethnically the most important part in the history of Dobrudia until the advent of the Ottoman Turks. T. Kowalski finds (Les Turcs et la langue turque de la Bulgarie du Nord-Est, in Ac. Pol. Mém. de la commission orientaliste, xvi, Cracow 1933, 28) linguistic remains of these early Turkish invasions from the North in the Gagauz Turkish (cf. GAGAUZ). The name Deli Orman comes from the Cuman Teli Orman (cf. G. Moravcsik, Byzantinoturcica, ii, Berlin 1958, 305-6). The Cumans in the Balkans were mostly Christianized, and, mingled with the native Wallachs and Slavs, they continued to play the rôle of a ruling military class among them (cf. L. Rásonyi-Nagy, Valacho-turcica, Berlin-Leipzig 1927, 68-96; P. Nikov, The Second Bulgarian Kingdom, Sofia 1937, in Bulgarian). Furthermore the Mongol invasion of the Dasht-i Ķipčaķ in 620/ 1223 and the foundation of the Khānate of the Golden Horde in 635/1238 caused large groups of Cumans to flee to the West (cf. B. Spuler, Die Goldene Horde, Leipzig 1945, 19-20). As to the bulk of the Ķīpçaķs who remained in the Dasht under Mongol rule, they mostly adopted Islam and were to play a significant part under the name of Tatar in Dobrudia's history in the following periods. With their support Noghay [q.v.] established his overlordship on the Bulgarian kingdom by 681/1282, where the king and many of his boyars were of Cuman origin. The lower Danube with Sakdii (Isacčea) was reported in the Arabic sources (Baybars, Zubdat al-fikra, in W. de Tiesenhausen, Altinordu devleti tarihine ait metinler, Turkish trans. I. H. Izmirli, Istanbul 1941, 221; Nuwayrī, ibid., 282) as one of the headquarters of Noghay. He was, Z. V. Togan thinks (Umumt Türk tarihine giriş, Istanbul 1946, 256, 325), acting against the Byzantines under the influence of the ghazā preachings of Şaru Şaltuk, who was active in Sakdil and the Crimea during this period. After the suppression of Noghay by Tokhtu, Khān of the Golden Horde (autumn 698/1299), Tukal Bogha, his son, was placed in the lower Danube and Sakdil and Noghay's son Čeke came into Bulgaria to seize the throne for a short time (cf. Baybars and Nuwayrī, ibid.).

As for the Anatolian Turks who were said to come with Şaru Şaltuk in Dobrudia in this period, we are now in a position to assert after P. Wittek's comparative study of the original Turkish account of Yazidijoghlu 'Alī with the Byzantine sources (Yazijioghlu 'Ali on the Christian Turks of Dobruja, in BSOAS, xiv (1952), 639-68) that these came actually to settle in Dobrudia after 662/1263-4 with Sultan 'Izz al-Dīn Kaykāūs who was then a refugee in Byzantium. Michael VIII Palaeologus gave permission to Kaykāūs's followers in Anatolia to come to settle in Dobrudja, then a no-man's-land between the Golden Horde, Bulgaria and the Byzantine empire (the arguments of P. Mutafčiev, Die angebliche Einwanderung von Seldschuk-Türken in die Dobrudscha im XIII. Jahrh., in Bulg. Acad. Sci. Lett., lxvi/1, 2, are not valid after Wittek's study; cf. also H. von Duda, Zeitgenössische islamische Quellen und das Oguznāme des Jazygyoglu 'Ali . . ., ibid. 131-45; see also Adnan S. Erzi, in $\dot{I}A$, v/2, 716). These Muslim Turks from Anatolia, mostly nomads, formed there "two or three towns and 30-40 oba, clans" (Yazidijoghlu in Wittek, 648; von Duda, 144). Abu 'l-Fida''s note about the majority of the population of 'Şakdii' being Muslims (Géographie, ed. Reinaud and de Slane, Paris 1840, 34) apparently referred to them rather than the Tatars settled under Noghay. With his headquarters in Sakdil Noghay, then converted to Islam, must have become after Berke Khān's death (665/1267, cf. Spuler, 51) the protector of the Anatolian Turks in Dobrudja (cf. Z. V. Togan, ibid.). It is interesting to note that the emigration of them back to Anatolia about 706/1307 followed the death of Noghay and the arrival of Tukal Bogha, apparently a pagan like his father Tokhtu Khan. In 699/1300 Noghay's son Čeke too was killed by Svetoslav in Bulgaria. Yazidjioghlu noted (Wittek, 651) that these Turks decided to emigrate because the Bulgarian princes had risen up and occupied the larger part of Rumeli. Those who remained, he added, became Christians. These people of Kaykāūs were, as Wittek demonstrated after Balasčev, named Ghaghauz after their lord Kaykāūs (cf. Wittek, ibid., 668). But in 732/1332 Baba Şaltuk (later Baba-dagh) was, Ibn Baţţūţa reported (Voyages, ii, 416; English trans. Gibb, ii, Cambridge 1959, 499), an important town possessed by the 'Turks'.

By 766/1365 an independent despotate under a Christianized Turkish family rose in the part of Dobrudia where the Gagauz always lived (in the Ottoman defter of 1006/1598, Tapu Kadastro Um. Md, Ankara, no. 399, some Christians in the area still bore Turkish names such as Arslan, Ķaragöz). Balik (758/1357) (also Balica; the name is a Cuman name, cf. Rásonyi, ibid.; Iorga identified it with Rumanian Balița: Notes d'un historien, in Acad.

Roum. Bull. Sec. His. ii-iv (1913), 97. Čolpan, an important man under the son of Dobrotič, bore an Anatolian Turkish name) and especially his energetic brother Dobrotič (the name is undoubtedly of Slav origin) founded in the area from the delta of the Danube down to the Emine promontory south of Varna a despotate independent of Byzantium and Bulgaria. Its capital was at Kalliakra by 767/ 1366 (Iorga, Dobrotisch, in Ac. Roum. Bull. de la Sec. His. ii-iv, 1914, 295) and Varna by 790/1388 (Neshri, 68). Apparently he profited from the Ottoman onslaught in Byzantine Thrace and Shishman's Bulgaria between 762-73/1361-71. From 763/1362 to 767/1366 his and the Ottomans' enemies were the same (cf. Iorga, Dobrotisch, 295). Allied with Venice, Dobrotic challenged the Genoese in the Black Sea. For Venice the wheat export of Dobrudia was then vitally important (cf. F. Thiriet, Régestes des déliberations du Sénat de Venise concernant la Romanie, i, 1958, documents nos. 545, 575, 576, 653, 671, 689). The land over which he ruled was named after him 'the Land of Dobrotič', terra Dobroticii (in 758/1357, Acta Patr. Const., i, 367) or Dobrudja-eli in Turkish (Yazîdiîoghlu in Wittek, 649). His son Ivanko or rather Yanko (Ioanchos) was an Ottoman vassal by 790/1388 (Neshri, 66, 68). It is most likely that Dobrotič too had accepted Ottoman suzerainty as had Shishman since 773/1371. Under Yanko Dobrudia experienced the first Ottoman conquest.

In the winter of 790/1388 Murād I hastily sent an army under 'Alī Pasha against Shishman and Yanko who had refused to join as his vassals the Ottoman army against Serbia. 'Alī passed the Balkan range through the pass of Nadir, captured Provadija (Pravadi), Shumla (Shumnu), Eski-Istanbulluk (ancient Preslav), Madera, and proceeded toward Trnovo (see BULGARIA). Then Yakhshi, son of Timurtash, was ordered to subdue the land of Dobrudja. According to a Turkish source (Neshrī, 66-70, reproduces an old and detailed account of this expedition. Ruhi gives the same account with omissions. Fr. Babinger, Beiträge zur Frühgeschichte der Türkenherrschaft in Rumelien, München 1944, 30, confused the expeditions of 790/ 1388 and 795/1393) two men from Varna came and said that the notables of the city had decided to seize the Tekvur, son of Dobrudja, and surrender the fortress to the Pasha. But the fortress did not surrender when Yakhshi came (Neshri, 68). The Ottomans, busy elsewhere, left Bulgaria to come back only in 795/1393. In the meantime Dobrudja and Silistre (Durostor) were occupied by Mirčea, a Wallachian prince. In his treaty with Poland in 791/1389 and in its renewal in 793/1391 he called himself 'the Lord of Silistre and Despot of the Land of Dobrotič' (despotus terrarum Dobrodicii) (N. Iorga, Hist. des Roumains, iii, Bucarest 1937, 339). The 'Turkish Towns' mentioned among his possessions (Iorga, Dobrotisch, 298) might be Sakdji and other towns founded by the 'people of Kaykāūs'. From there Mirčea attacked the aķīn \underline{d} is at the Ottoman udi of Karîn-ovasî (Karnobad) who were a constant threat to his new possessions (cf. A. Decei, L'expédition de Mirčea I contre les akindji de Karinovasi, in Rev. des Ét. Roumaines, Paris 1953, 130-51). It was this bold attack that made Bayezid I come to consolidate Ottoman rule in Bulgaria (see BĀYAZĪD I). Dobrudia and Silistre were taken under direct Ottoman rule during the operations in 795/1393. Then Dobrudja was made an important udj [q.v.] for aķindis, and preserved this character throughout its history, attracting warlike elements as well as dissidents and sectarians. Mirčea profited from the Ottoman disaster at Ankara in 805/1402 to take back Silistre and the northern Dobrudja (Iorga, Hist. des Roumains, iii, 385). Süleymān, Bāyezīd's successor in Rumeli, appears then to have recognized the fact. But soon the akindis renewed their raids against Mirčea (Neshrī, 130; P. Ş. Nāstrul, Une victoire de Voyvode Mirčea, in Studia et Acta Orientalia, i, Bucarest 1958, 242). To free himself of them Mirčea invited and gave his support to Mūsā Čelebī, Süleymän's brother and rival (Neshri, 130; P. P. Panaitescu, Mirčea čel Bătran, Bucarest 1943, 214). The akindjis joined Mūsā [q.v.] against Süleymān, and left Mirčea alone. In 819/1416 he supported Muştafā, another pretender, and Shaykh Badr al-Dîn [q.v.] against Meḥemmed I [q.v.] in Dobrudja and Deli Orman. The tovidjas, aklndjî leaders, Şüfī dervishes who were in this udi area in great numbers joined them (cf. S. Yaltkaya, Seyh Bedreddin'e dair bir kitap, in TM, iii, 251; Orudi, ed. Fr. Babinger, 45, 111). Though in their official titles Mirčea and Mihai, his successor, always mentioned 'the two sides of the Danube' among their possessions it was apparent that Dobrudia and Silistre were then actually in the hands of the akindiis, who in their antipathy toward Mehemmed I must have continued their friendly relations with the Wallachian voyvodas. Mirčea's death (Dhu 'l-Ḥididia 820/ January 1418) and the ensuing confusion provided the Sultan with the opportunity to establish his control in Dobrudja in 822/1419. After he subdued his rivals in Anatolia, the Djandarids and then the Karamanids (see KARAMĀN OGHLU), Meķemmed I organized a large-scale expedition against Wallachia in which both Anatolian principalities sent auxiliary forces. An Ottoman fleet participated in the operations. In the summer of 822/1419 he crossed the Danube, captured and fortified Yergögü (Giurgiu) and attempted to take Kilia while the raiders devastated the enemy's country. Mihai first took refuge in Argesh and then perished in an skirmish. Before his return the Sultan strengthened Sakdil and Yeni-Sale against future attacks of the Wallachians. No mention is made of Silistre during this expedition. Dan I, the new Voyvoda, recognized Ottoman suzerainty, though the Emperor Sigismond had started southwards with the intention of invading the Dobrudia. He was delayed by the Ottoman action against Severin (autumn 822/1419). (Iorga, GOR, i, 375, and Hist. des Roumains, iii, 401-2, dates this expedition 820/1417. In this year Mehemmed I was at war against the Karaman oghlu in Anatolia, cf. Ibn Ḥadjar, text in Ş. Inalcık, Ibn Hacer'de Osmanlılara dair haberler, AÜDTCF Dergisi, vi/5, 525. Following Neshrī's confused chronology, Uzunçarşılı, Osmanlı tarihi, i, new ed. Ankara 1961, 356; and A. Decei, IA, iii, 635, adopted 819/1416 as the date of the expedition against Wallachia. For our dating see further O. Turan, Tarihi takvimler, Ankara 1954, 20, 56; Atsız, Osmanlı tarihine ait takvimler, İstanbul 1961, 20; Ibn Ḥadjar, ibid., the years 821/1418 and 822/ 1419; and a letter of Mehemmed I to the Mamlůk Sultan in Feridun, Munsha'āt al-salāţīn, i, 164-5). The Wallachians under Dan attempted to take Silistre during the period of the renewed civil war in the Ottoman empire in 825/1422 (Iorga, Hist. des Roumains, iv, 20; Neshrī, 154; 'Āshīķpashazāde, ed. 'Alī, 105). Against him Fīrūz (Feriz) Beg was appointed in this udi to organize counter-raids.

Firmly established in Dobrudia since Mehemmed I's expedition in 822/1419, the Ottomans used it as

a base to extend their control on the other side of the Danube. The imperial army under Mehemmed II invaded Boghdan [q.v.] in 881/1476, passing through Dobrudja (see MEHEMMED II), Bäyezīd II using the same route took Kilia and Akkermān in 889/1484. During this expedition he built the great mosque and the zāwiya of Şaru Şaltuk in Baba Ķaşabasī (Babadagh) and endowed them with all the tax revenues of the town and surrounding villages (for these endowments a wakf defteri exists in the Tapu ve Kadastro Um. Md., Ankara, no. 397). In his expedition against Boghdan in 945/1538 Süleymān I too showed the same interest in this pre-Ottoman Islamic centre (cf. Ferīdūn, i, 602-3).

According to the defters (see DAFTAR-I KHĀĶĀNĪ) of the 10th/16th century (in the Başvekâlet Archives Istanbul, Tapu nos. 65, 542, 688, 304, 483, 732, and, in Tapu ve Kadastro Um. Md. Ankara, nos. 397, 398, 399) the sandjak of Silistre and Akkermān comprised the kadās of Akkermān, Djankermān, Kili, Bender, Ibrail, Silistre, Hīrsova, Tekfurgölü and the nāḥiyes of Varna, Pravadi, Yanbolu, Ahyolu, Rusi-Kaṣrī, Karin-ābād and Aydos. Balčik, Kavarna and Kaligra were included in the nāḥiye of Varna. The Ottomans applied in Dobrudja typical Ottoman laws and regulations with special provisions for such groups as eshkündjis, müsellems, Djebelü-Tatars, Matrak-Tatarlarī, djānbāz (cf. the kānūnnāmes in Ö. L. Barkan, Kanunlar, 272-89).

The following is a table drawn up according to the defters of 1006/1597 (Tapu ve Kadastro Um. Md., Ankara, nos. 397, 398, 399).

Dasht-i Kîpčak in autumn 797/1395. Their leader Aktaw was a general of Tokhtamīsh Khān (cf. Nizām al-Dīn Shāmī, Zafarnāma, Turkish trans. N. Lugal, Ankara 1949, 194). Bāyezīd I took them into his own service with the same status as the Yürük [q.v.] (Ö. L. Barkan, in Iktisad Fak. Mec., xv, 211-3). From Budiak [q.v.] and the Crimea Tatar refugees continued to come into Dobrudia in later periods (especially in 918/1512 and 920/1514, cf. Müstecib H. Fazil, Dobruca ve Türkler, Köstence 1940, 36). In 1007/1599 Baldasarius Waltheri reported that in the plain of Dobrudia lived 6000 Tatar families, Dobrudja Tatarlarī, who provided an auxiliary force to the Ottoman army under a Crimean prince (Müstecib H. Fazil, ibid., 37).

In the regions of Tekfur-gölü, Ḥirsova, Silistre and Varna also lived the Yürük [q.v.] groups: those of Kodiadilk 44 odiak, each odiak being regularly 30 men, Nal-döken 34 odiak, Tañri-dagh about 95 odiak by 1009/1600 (cf. T. Gökbilgin, ibid., 56, 70, 76, 212-30). Each odiak furnished five fighters for the army.

Turkish Muslims made up, in the countryside too, the majority of the population. The study of personal names and village names (the above mentioned defters are mufassal defters in which the names of the heads of the households are recorded) shows that an overwhelming majority of the villages were the new ones founded by the Turkish Muslim immigrants from Anatolia. We know that the Ottoman state made from the early conquest onwards forced settlements of Anatolian Turks in this important udi area (cf. Barkan, Kanunlar, 273, 274,

Town	Number of Muslim districts	Number of non-Muslim districts	Tax revenue
Silistre	16	(8 I Jewish I Gypsy	215,429
Isaķ <u>di</u> î (Isaķča, Saķ <u>di</u> î)	I	6	187,995
Mačin	_	4	83,113
Baba (Baba-dag <u>h)</u>	16	2	107,350 (Waķf)
Ḥirsova	2	_	50,000
Tekfur-gölü	ı	756 families of tuz <u>dj</u> u	34,477
Balčlķ	12	3	
Kavarna	_	4	32,666
Pazar <u>dj</u> ik	16	I	20,000
Kaligra (Kalliakra)	ı (dervishes in the zāwiya)	I	12,110

As separate small communities gypsies lived in all these towns. They were mostly Christians. Only in Silistre 21 Jewish families were recorded. Here is a table of the ports in Dobrudia with their revenues from the dues on fish, salt, mills and the customs dues:

Silistre: 566,666, Tulča, Isakdii and Mačin together: 561, 675. Varna, Balčík, Kaligra, Mangalya, Köstendie, Kara-Ḥarmanlik, Ķamči-suyu, Galata, Baba-gölü and Yeni-Sale together: 281,004.

In 32 villages of the kadā of Hīrsova and in 9 villages of that of Tekfur-gölü lived Tatarān-i Diebelüyān (Diebelü Tatarlar) with the obligation to equip at their own expense 360 diebelüs for the army, and in return they were exempted from the 'awārid [q.v.] taxes. The Tatars of Aktaw who were settled around Tekfur-gölü, Pravadi, Varna, Yanbolu and Filibe (T. Gökbilgin, Rumeli'de Yürükler, Tatarlar ve Evlād-i Fātihān, Istanbul 1957, 26, 87, 88) had immigrated into Rumeli when Tīmūr invaded the

and Iktisad Fak. Mec., xv, 227). A great number of the villages bore a personal name ending with the word kuyu, well (Akindji Kuyusu, Kara Bali Ķuyusu, Avunduķ Ķuyusu etc.). A large number of them revealed a tribal origin with the word diemacat (for example Karye-i Eyerdji Khayr al-Din Pinari, djemā'at-i Seyyid Khizir, Ķarye-i Ķartallu Mustafā 'an djemā'at-i Şaliḥ Ţovidja etc.). Apparently few villages with a mixed population of Muslims and Christians were pre-Ottoman. In the northern Dobrudia there existed large villages of exclusively Christian population (Mačin, Kara-Harmanlik, Esterbend etc.). Some names indicated their Romanian origin (Radul, Yanko, Mihne etc.). Most of the Christian villages enjoyed exemption from 'awarid taxes in return for their services to repair the bridges and roads, or for their work in the salt production.

The repopulation and prosperity of Dobrudia under the Ottomans were primarily due to the fact

that they considered it as an important udi area, and the Anatolian immigrants were encouraged to engage in agriculture by the increasing demand for and easy transportation of the wheat production of Dobrudja for Istanbul. From Kara-Harmanlik, Köstendie, Mangalya, Balčík and Kaligra a large quantity of wheat and fish was exported regularly to the Ottoman metropolis. At these ports the state had built special storehouses for wheat. Muslims paid two per cent and dhimmis four per cent as customs due on their export. The ports of Silistre, Tulča, Isakdii, Mačin, Hirsova exported, in addition, Wallachian timber, salt, felt of Brashow and slaves for Istanbul and Rumeli (The kānūnnāmes of the ports of Dobrudia in the above-mentioned defters are not yet published; also see 'Othmān Nūrī, Medjelle-i Umūr-i Belediyye, Istanbul 1338, 781, and Tarih Vesikaları, v, 333). The towns of Ḥadjloghlu Pazardilk, Mangalya and Baba with their weekly fairs were important trade centres for the whole region (cf. Ewliyā Čelebi, Seyāḥatnāme, iii, Istanbul 1314, 320-71).

From 983/1575 onwards Cossack attacks became a constant threat to Dobrudja. In 995/1587 they burned down Baba (Babadagh). In 1003/1595 Mihai, the rebellious Voyvoda of Wallachia, supported by the Cossacks, renewed Mirčea's attacks on the Ottoman cities and fortresses in Dobrudja and caused a mass emigration (cf. A. Decei, in \$IA\$, iii, 637). The continuing Cossack threat made the Ottoman government decide to create a new eyālet including the sandjaks of the Eastern Black Sea with Silistre and Özü as its capitals (cf. 'Aynī 'Alī, Kawānīn-i Āl-i 'Othmān . . ., Istanbul 1280, 13).

The Dobrudia was invaded by the Russian armies for the first time in 1185/1771. Babadagh, general headquarters of the Ottoman armies, fell in 1185/1771, and, when in 1188/1774 Hadjioghlu Pazardjik, the new headquarters, also fell the Ottomans demanded a cease-fire. The Dobrudja became again a battlefield between the Ottoman and Russian armies in 1224/ 1809, 1244/1829 and 1271/1855. The Russian invasion of 1244/1829 proved especially ruinous for the Dobrudia, causing a mass emigration of the Turkish-Tatar population. Whole towns and villages were deserted. The population of the Dobrudia after this war was estimated at only 40,000 (Müstecib H. Fazil, op. cit., 75; E. Z. Karal, Os. Imp. ilk nüfus sayımı, Ankara 1943). Appreciating its strategical importance the Ottoman government took special measures to repopulate the Dobrudia by improving agriculture and bringing in settlers. In Muhariam 1253/April 1837 Mahmud II (cf. H. Inalcık, Tanzimat ve Bulgar Meselesi, Ankara 1943, 27-8) and in spring 1262/1846 Sultan 'Abd al-Mediid (Seyāhatnāme-i Hümāyūn, 11-5) visited the area. In 1266/1850 an expert was sent to explore the agricultural possibilities there (I. I. de la Brad, Excursion agricole dans la plaine de la Dobroudja, Const. 1850). At this date in the kadās of Tulča, Isaķča, Mačin, Ḥirsova, Babadagh, Köstendie, Mangalya, Pazardik, Balčík and Silistre were 4800 Turkish, 3656 Romanian, 2225 Tatar, 2214 Bulgarian, 1092 Cossack, 747 Lipovani, 300 Greek, 212 Gypsy, 145 Arab, 126 Armenian, 119 Jewish and 59 German families. After the Crimean war in the period between 1270/ 1854 and 1283/1866 the Tatar immigrants from the Crimea who were settled in the Dobrudia were estimated at dabout 100,000 (F. Bianconi quoted in M. H. Fazil, 90-1). When in 1281/1864 the wilayet of Tuna was created the sandjaks or liwas of Tulča and Varna with a total population of 173,250 made

a part of it. The former included the kadas of Balčík, Pazardiík, Pravadi, and Mangalya, the latter those of Baba, Hirsova, Sünne, Köstendie, Mačin and Medjidiye (Karasu) (Sālnāme, 1294; cf. N. V. Michoff, La population de la Turquie et de la Bulgarie au XVIIIe et au XIXe siècles, i, Sofia 1929). The Turco-Russian war of 1877-8 caused about 90,000 Turks and Tatars to emigrate from the Dobrudia to Turkey and Bulgaria and most of them never returned. By the treaty of Berlin signed on 13 July 1878 (Art. 46), the sandjak of Tulča and the Southern Dobrudia from the east of Silistre to the south of Mangalya were annexed to Romania. The rest of the Dobrudia made the part of the Prin cipality of Bulgaria under Ottoman suzerainty (Art. 1-2). Under the Romanian administration emigrations of Muslim population into Turkey continued especially in 1300/1883 when these were subjected to compulsory military service and in 1317/1899 during the famine in the Dobrudja (M. H. Fazil, 109-10). In 1328/1910 in the Romanian Dobrudia only thirty per cent of a population of 210,000 and in the Bulgarian Dobrudia forty per cent of a population of 257,000 were Muslim Turks and Tatars.

Bibliography: The Başvekalet Archives, Istanbul, Tapu Defterleri, nos. 304, 483, 732; Tapu ve Kadastro Um. Md., Ankara, Ķuyūd-i Kadime, nos. 397, 398, 399; I. Bromberg, Toponymical and historical miscellanies on mediaeval Dobrudja, Bessarabia and Moldo-Wallachia, in Byzantion, xii, 151-207, 459-475; xiii, 9-72; N. Bănescu, La question du Paristrion, in Byzantion, viii (1933); P. Mutafčiev, Dobrudja, Sofia 1947 (in Bulgarian); La Dobrudja, ed. Acad. Roumaine, Bucarest 1938; Müstecib H. Fazıl (Ülküsal), Dobruca ve Türkler, Köstence 1940; C. Jireček, Geschichte der Bulgaren, Prague 1876; N. Iorga, Hist. des Roumains, i-x, Bucarest 1936-9; P. Wittek, Yazijioghlu 'Ali on the Christian Turks of the Dobruja, in BSOAS, xiv (1952), 639-68; A. I. Manof, Gagauzlar, Turkish trans. by T. Acaroğlu, Ankara 1940; N. Iorga, La politique vénitienne dans les eaux de la mer noire, in Acad. Roumaine, Bull. sect. hist., ii-iv (1914), 289-307; idem, Dobrotich, Dobrotici, Dobrotici, in Rev. hist. du Sud-Est Européen, v (1928); Documente privind Istoria Romîniei, A. Moldova, i, Bucarest 1954 (the text of the treaty between Ivanco and the Genoese, 296-301); G. I. Brătianu, Recherches sur Vicina et Cetătea Alba, Bucarest 1935; Ewliyā Čelebi, Seyāḥatnāme, iii, Istanbul 1314 H., 335-75; Analele Dobrogei, publ. in Constanta since 1920; 1A, art. Dobruca (Aurel Decei). See also BABAda<u>gh</u>ı, deli orman, gagauz, şarı şaltık (Şaru (HALIL INALCIK)

DOFĂR [see ZAFĂR].
DOG [see KALB].

DÖGER, name of an Oghuz tribe (boy). They are mentioned in the Oghuz-nāme (the account of the life of the Oghuz people before they embraced Islam, see F. Sümer, Oğuzlar'a ait destant mahiyette eserler, in Ank. Un. DTCFD, xvii/3-4), where it is said that some prominent beys of the Oghuz rulers belonged to this tribe. According to the Syrian historian Shams al-Din Muhammad al-Djazari (658/1260-739/1338), the Artuk [q.v.] dynasty, ruling the Mardin-Diyārbekir region, belonged to the Döger tribe (F. Sümer, op. cit., 405, n. 171), which must therefore have taken part in the conquests of the Selčuks. In the second half of the 8th/13th century an important branch of the Döger was living south of Urfa (Edessa) and